## What Reagan Could Say About North

nism, antipathy for government was about the sum and substance of his political ideology. Now, a bit more than five years after Reagan left the Oval Office, he is about to strike his biggest blow against Ronald Reagan's disdain for big government is well known. He loathes it. Aside from anticommugovernment yet. He's determined to remain silent on

and daffy judges. has people like him up in arms about ACLU lawyers legerdemain—a technical appeal that, in other cases, his candidacy is like an atheist bidding to be pope. North is a free and rich man today by dint of legal gratuity and-most important-lying to Congress, convicted of shredding evidence, accepting an illegal North is the bemedaled prevaricator running for the Senate from Virginia. Given that North was

Had North limited his lying to Congress, most Americans might consider this a brouhaha of no concern to them. But a group of former Reagan and Bush administration officials is as exercised about embellished his role in Reagan's White House, exag-gerated his relationship with the president and lied when he fingered Reagan as the brains behind opposed by George Shultz, Alexander Haig, Caspar Weinberger, Edwin Meese III and Frank Carlucci. Even North's old Iran-contra compadre, retired Gen. North sometimes does not know the truth or prefers not to speak it. Specifically, they charge that North North as are members of Congress. His candidacy is ohn Singlaub, has blasted him. Their grievances:

conservative publication caught North saying he was Much of the Reagan loyalists' case against North was laid out in the June 1993 Reader's Digest. The with Reagan when others say he wasn't and taking

> credit for decisions he had no part in. Even North's vaunted \$13,800 security fence for his suburban Virginia home—erected in a harrumph of justification North knew well that he should never have accepted the fence. "You go over those rules all the time," he said. "They are very well known." This was not after a purported death threat—makes some former officials apoplectic. Retired Air Force Gen. Richard Secord, no liberal by any means, told the Digest that "Humor in Uniform."

Gordon Liddy back when Liddy was a mere sociopath and not a talk radio personality. In the tradition of the Marines, North could certainly take a hill, but what he would do with Hermann Rorschach's ink blots is middle America, that his delivery is faultless. He is Jimmy Stewart in "Mr. Smith Goes to Washington," John Wayne in a zillion war movies or, if you will, G. and the children, orphans and occasional virgins of ming is he with cinematic vainglory, so certain is he that he alone stood between the awful Sandinistas North has an answer for every charge. So brim-

tioned authority.
It's Reagan who could polish off North. The former judgment have a who-struck-John quality to them—their word against his, insufficient to snap North's fans out of their delirium. What's needed in the political equivalent of Cecil B. De Mille's voice in one another matter.

The men now allied against North could stock a of his biblical film epochs: a word from an unquesgle where they would be expected to stay neutral. Still, their statements about North's honesty and ly feel so strongly about North that they have injected themselves into the sort of intraparty strug-Some are not connected with Virginia. They evidentwhole administration—and, in fact, they once did

arms around him and said, "I told you not to worry. You can trust Americans." Good story—except Rea-Marine once claimed that he was with his president in the White House's living quarters when the medical Digest he will not now comment on the story. was not with the president that day. North told the United States. North told friends that Reagan put his students evacuated from Grenada came back to the gan's press secretary, Marlin Fitzwater, said North

reversal on appeal, as conservatives have so instructed over the years.) Is Reagan's first loyalty to his country or his party? Apparently, it's to his party—not, mind much good. He may be the one guy who could lose to you, that a North primary victory would do the GOP mandment or the possibility that a convicted liar will become a United States senator? (I'm ignoring the ill of a fellow Republican." This raises an interesting Chuck Robb, the Democratic incumbent. question: What's more important—the silly 11th Comso-called 11th Commandment—"Thou shall not speak will say nothing. He abides by the Republican Party's But Reagan could. The former president, though

could do both his party and his country a service by Reagan could point out, for instance, that while North has said he met twice a week with him, White North's most fervent supporters pause and think Miller, is a good guy.) speaking up. (North's primary opponent, James ings—and then others were always present. Reagan House logs put the grand total at only 19 meet A word from the ex-president would make ever

amounts to that. the one about bearing false witness. His silence May I suggest that Reagan ignore the 11th Commandment and refer to the Ninth instead. It's